UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/564,684 | 07/06/2006 | Stephen William Murray | 7101P008 | 2064 |
| | 7590 10/14/200 KOLOFF TAYLOR & | EXAMINER | | |
| 1279 OAKMEA | AD PARKWAY | PIPALA, EDWARD J | | |
| SUNNI VALE, | , CA 94085-4040 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3663 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 10/14/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | Applica | Application No. Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|--|--|----------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|--|
| | | 10/564 | ,684 | MURRAY, STEPI | MURRAY, STEPHEN WILLIAM | | | |
| Office Action Summary | | | er | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | EDWAF | RD PIPALA | 3663 | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this commur or Reply | nication appears on | the cover sheet w | vith the correspondence a | ddress | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1) 又 | Responsive to communication(s) file | ed on 16 July 2009 | | | | | | |
| 2a)□ | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | | | |
| 3) | | <i>′</i> — | | ters, prosecution as to th | e merits is | | | |
| ٠,٠ | ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)🛛 | Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the | application. | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5) | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)🖂 | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) | Claim(s) are subject to restri | ction and/or electior | n requirement. | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) | The specification is objected to by th | ne Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10)🛛 | The drawing(s) filed on <u>10 January 2</u> | <u>2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ ao | ccepted or b) 🔲 o | objected to by the Examir | ner. | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any obje | ection to the drawing(s |) be held in abeya | nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including | g the correction is req | uired if the drawing | g(s) is objected to. See 37 C | FR 1.121(d). | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | | |
| Priority ι | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| | 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies | of the priority docu | ments have beer | received in this Nationa | l Stage | | | |
| | application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | ` ' | | _ | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | | | | | |
| 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Informal Patent Application | | | | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/16/09 and 7/27/09</u> . 6) Other: | | | | | | | | |

Art Unit: 3663

DETAILED ACTION

This Office action is in response to Applicant's amendments and remarks of 7/16/09.
 The previous rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112 2nd has been withdrawn.

Claims 1-14 are presently pending.

Specification

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is not contained on a separate sheet (all by itself, and not on the form of the first page of Applicant's published PCT).

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

The incorporation of essential material in the specification by reference to an unpublished U.S. application, foreign application or patent, or to a publication is improper. Applicant is required to amend the disclosure to include the material incorporated by reference, if the material is relied upon to overcome any objection, rejection, or other requirement imposed by the Office. The amendment must be accompanied by a statement executed by the applicant, or a practitioner representing the applicant, stating that the material being inserted is the material previously incorporated by reference and that the amendment contains no new matter. 37 CFR 1.57(f).

The complete specification is objected to for not being in appropriate U.S. form.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

Art Unit: 3663

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) THE NAMES OF THE PARTIES TO A JOINT RESEARCH AGREEMENT.
- (e) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC.
- (f) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (g) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (h) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (i) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (j) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (I) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 7/16/09 and 7/27/09 have been considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Sakai et al. (US 6,409,625).

Art Unit: 3663

Sakai et al. A toroidal continuously variable transmission (1) comprises power rollers (18C, 18D, 20C, 20D) which transmit a torque between input disks (18A, 20A) and output disks (20A, 20B), and trunnions (104, 105, 114, 115) which drive the power rollers (18C, 18D, 20C, 20D) in a perpendicular direction to a rotation shaft (16A) according to a differential pressure between a first oil chamber (101) and a second oil chamber (102). When the second oil chamber (102) is at higher pressure than the first oil chamber (101), the toroidal continuously variable transmission (1) causes a downshift, and when the first oil chamber (101) is at higher pressure than the second oil chamber (102), the toroidal continuously variable transmission (1) causes an upshift. The first oil chamber (101) is connected to a first passage (176) and the second oil chamber (102) is connected to a second passage (177). A speed ratio control valve (70, 70A) controls a direction and a flowrate of the first passage (176) and the second passage (177). A pressure control valve (200, 210, 220, 40, 45, 41, 46) limits a maximum differential pressure of the first oil chamber (101) and the second oil chamber (102) when the transmission (1) causes a downshift to be less than a maximum differential pressure of the first oil chamber (101) and the second oil chamber (102) when the transmission (1) causes an upshift. This arrangement prevents a sharp downshift of the toroidal continuously variable transmission (1) due to a fault or incorrect operation.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-14 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 3663

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to EDWARD PIPALA whose telephone number is (571) 272-

1360. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30 - 6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jack Keith can be reached on 571-272-6878. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information

for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information

about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on

access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-

217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service

Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA

OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Edward Pipala/

Examiner, Art Unit 3663